

Drug Medi-Cal Waiver Evaluation Planning

Darren Urada, Ph.D.

UCLA Integrated Substance Abuse Programs

January 5, 2015

The author's views and recommendations do not necessarily represent those of the funders, UCLA, or the UCLA Integrated Substance Abuse Programs.



**These plans are in
development.**

**Suggestions & advice are
welcome!**

Role of the Evaluation

Aside from meeting CMS requirements...

- We cannot continue to bend the health cost curve without treating SUD.
- California's DMC waiver can provide a model for the rest of the nation
- But only if we clearly understand whether it works, what is working, and what is not.
- Participation in the waiver and evaluation puts us at the heart of national discussion of health reform.

Goals

- Evaluate access, quality, and costs of Drug Medi-Cal services their coordination with primary care, mental health, and recovery support services under the waiver.
- Provide information to *help improve* implementation.

Goals cont'd

- Use existing data where possible
- Align measures with existing or expected future data requirements where possible to.
- Where necessary, supplement with new data collection while attempting to minimize the burden on stakeholders wherever possible.

Design

- Randomized controlled trials are ideal, but is impractical in this case.
- Pre-Post Comparisons
- County comparisons (Opt-in vs. Opt-out)
- Qualitative data

Overview of Measures

- **Access** - Has access to treatment increased in counties that have opted in to the waiver?
- **Quality** - Has quality of care improved in counties that have opted in to the waiver?
- **Cost (might be led by DHCS)** - Is the waiver cost effective?
- **Integration & Coordination of Care** - Is SUD tx being coordinated with primary care, mental health, and recovery support services?

Potential Measures of Access

Has access to treatment increased?

- Availability and use of full required continuum of care (CalOMS-Tx)
- Use of medication assisted treatment (DMC Claims, Medical claims)
- Number of Admissions (DMC Claims, CalOMS-Tx)
- Numbers and trends by type of service (e.g. NTP)
- Penetration rates –also by primary drug (alcohol/drug)

Access Cont'd

- Adequacy of network
 - Average distance to provider
 - Time from ASAM assessment to admission
 - Newly certified sites
 - Residential capacity (DATAR)
 - Outpatient capacity (in development)
 - Local capacity and quality of available care?
- Existence of a functioning beneficiary access number
- Availability of provider directory to patients

Potential Measures of Quality

Has quality of care improved?

- Appropriate placement:
 - Use of ASAM
 - Comparison of ASAM scores and actual placement
 - Use of continuing ASAM assessments, appropriate movement
- Appropriate treatment consistent with level of care after placement:
 - ASAM Audits
 - % of referrals with successful treatment engagement

Quality cont'd

- Will need to collect supplemental data from Chemical Dependency Recovery Hospitals and free standing psych, since they do not report to CalOMS-Tx.
- County EBP audits (and assess adequacy of such audits), incorporating information from DHCS audits.
- Data indicator reports
- If call centers are used, call waiting times, call abandonment.
- *Follow-up patient surveys and interviews*
 - Patient perceptions of care
- *Provider surveys and interviews*
 - Quality of care, perceptions of system (other providers), measures of patient centered care.

Quality cont'd

- Outcome Measures
 - CalOMS, Patient surveys
 - AOD use
 - Social support
 - Living arrangements
 - Employment
 - Quality of Life / Functioning
 - Use of other services (CSI, Medi-Cal claims, OSHPD data)
 - ER, Psychiatric Emergency visits, Hospital inpatient
 - Grievance reports

Potential Cost Measures

- Total dollars spent
- Per user per month SUD costs
- Total health costs pre/post waiver implementation among DMC users

Potential Measures of Integration and Coordination of Care

Is SUD treatment being coordinated with primary care, mental health, and recovery support services?

- Existence of required MOUs with
 - bidirectional referral protocols between plans
 - availability of clinical consultation, including
 - consultation on medications
 - management of a beneficiary's care, including :
 - procedures for the exchanges of medical information
 - process for resolving disputes between the county and the Medi-Cal managed care plan that includes a means for beneficiaries to receive medically necessary services while the dispute is being resolved

Integration & Coordination cont'd

- Coordination:
 - Comprehensive substance use, physical, mental health screening
 - Beneficiary engagement and participation in an integrated care program as needed
 - Shared development of care plans by the beneficiary, caregivers and all providers
 - Collaborative treatment planning with managed care
 - Care coordination, effective communication among providers
 - Navigation support for patients and caregivers
 - Facilitation and tracking of referrals between systems.
- Quantify referrals to and from primary care and mental health
- Quantify referrals to and from recovery services

Potential Issues

- Accurate data may be limited for the “pre” group and from opt-out counties.
- Increases in CalOMS: real, or better reporting?
- Medical costs, utilization among uninsured patients during the “pre” timeframe. *If they were uninsured, there will be no claims, and their costs/utilization would look low using claims data.*
- Collecting ASAM data

Questions? Comments?

Darren Urada, Ph.D.
durada@ucla.edu